

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.]
08/982,559	12/02/1997	JOHN CAHILL	NSP-CASE-5	9469	•
7	590 04/22/2003				
FLYNN THIEL BOUTELL & TANIS			EXAMINER]
2026 RAMBLI KALAMAZOO			GUARRIELI	LO, JOHN J	•
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	120
			1771]] 2 j
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A
Office Action Summary	Application No. Application No. Application No. Examinary Sum Gun	Applicant(s) a hill Group Art Unit wello 1771
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet be	neath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	7	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 2	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu 	oly within the statutory minimu expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication .
Status	′ / -	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	5 12003	
☐ This action is FINAL .	, –	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193		
Disposition of Claims	, ,	
Claim(s) 23,2-8,16,15	5-25,27-45	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Clajm(s)		is/are allowed.
$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{Claim(s)}}{23} \frac{2-8}{2-8} \frac{10}{10} \frac{15-25}{15}$	7, 27-45	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	/	
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers		requirement.
- P P · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	a Review. PTO-948.	
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on 	•	☐ disapproved.
·	is approved [☐ disapproved.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved [□ disapproved.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are object ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	is approved [□ disapproved.
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are object □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 	is approved [□ disapproved.
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are object □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 	is approved and to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(a)-(b)-(a)-(b)-(b)-(a)-(b)-(b)-(a)-(b)-(b)-(b)-(b)-(b)-(b)-(b)-(b)-(b)-(b	d). ve been
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved ded to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(the priority documents have)	d). ve been ule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved ded to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(the priority documents have)	d). ve been ule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved and to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(the priority documents has ber) mational Bureau (PCT R	d). ve been ule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved ded to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(a)-(b)-(b)-(c)-(c)-(c)-(c)-(c)-(c)-(c)-(c)-(c)-(c	d). ve been ule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

- 15. The Examiner acknowledges paper # 25, the amendment of 2/5/2003.
- 16. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 17. Applicant's arguments regarding Kelch under 102(b) and the rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

18. Claims 23, 3-8, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Minnick 5,098,778.

Rejection is maintained substantially as in paper # 15 of 2/14/2001, and in paper # 17 of 8/14/2001, and paper # 22 of 6/6/2002 with exceptions noted below with '451 Minnick in paragraph # 2/1 regarding claims 2, 15-22.

Applicant's arguments regarding the wall structure have been considered but Minnick '778 describes building panels which can be considered wall structure as noted, (column 2, lines 54-58). Furthermore, Minnick '778 still describes light weight, high strength laminates (column 7, lines 54-60) with a

Application/Control Number: 08/982559 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

low density, 0.7 lb./cu.ft. (Column 8, lines 62-63). Minnick '778 describes the laminate has high strength of at least 9.9 Mpsi, flex strength, and specific strength values of 0.8(L) and 2.5(C) in (Table II, Example I), (column 9, lines 34-60). Since, Minnick '778 teaches each of the chemical and structural elements claimed, then it must meet the mechanical strength inherently. Minnick '778 meets the essential limitations of the claimed invention. Claims lack novelty.

Applicant's arguments regarding woven is not disclosed, have been considered but a fiberglass scrim can be considered woven, thus applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

19. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

20. Claims 23, 2, 15-22, 24, 25, 27-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelch in view of Minnick 5,451,451.

Page 4

Kelch as in the text in paper # 24 of 12.4/2002, with the difference that it is silent about the cellulosic layer laminated to the reinforcing layer.

Minnick '451 describes lightweight high strength laminate, (see abstract; column 2, lines 47-68; column 4, lines 5-36). Minnick '451 describes the cellulosic layer "in another aspect of the invention" (column 7, lines 59-60) which corresponds to the cellulosic layer which is laminated to the reinforcing layer. Minnick '451 describes resin is impregnated into cotton linter paper (which is cellulose), (column 7, lines 59-64).

It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the cellulosic layer of Minnick '451 in the panel board of Kelch motivated with the expectation that the resin impregnated layer (as the third layer for the foam board) would improve the properties of strength of the foam insulation board so as to withstand the problem of high velocity winds as noted in Kelch, (column 1, lines 23-25).

Application/Control Number: 08/982559 Page 5

Art Unit: 1771

Applicant's arguments regarding the combination of Minnick and Kelch have been considered but they are not persuasive because placing a layer of Minnick with Kelch would improve the laminate strength of the claimed wall structure which is a motivation contrary to what applicant argues. No criticality of components is evidenced by applicant with regard to wind velocity to the claimed wall laminate.

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Guarriello whose telephone number is 703-308-3209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-5408.

Application/Control Number: 08/982559 Page 6

Art Unit: 1771

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

John J. Guarriello:gj

Patent Examiner

April 11, 2003

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700